

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/737,192	SASAGAWA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Min Jung	2663

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Min Jung.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Myers.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2005

**Time:** 3:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4-7, 10, 13-16, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The phrase starting with "when----" in claims 4-7 and 13-16 limits the base claim in such a way that contradicts (or broadens improperly) what is already recited in the base claims. An amendment was proposed by the examiner and agreed to by Mr. Myers to correct this indefiniteness. Minor indefiniteness was also noted and agreed to correct for claims 10 and 20. .